

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/321,360		05/27/1999	MICHAEL F. GUHEEN	AND1P101	AND1P101 6371		
22908	7590	12/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BANNER &		•		ROBINSON BO	YCE, AKIBA K		
SUITE 3000		LK DKI V L		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6		3623			

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100					
Advisory Action	09/321,360	GUHEEN ET AL.	V					
navicery near	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Akiba K Robinson-Boyce	3623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in					
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ree under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		,					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	pelow);		•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the control of the control			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		*						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	A	f					
	S	Tariq r\ hai Upervisory patent Technology cent	EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as per claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17 and 18, the applicant argues that Rassman merely teaches continuous updating of information for existing components and does not teach "compiling a list of additional components for implementation into the existing system". However, in Col. 7, lines 55-57, Rassman discloses that the remaining operating rooms could be scheduled in a similar fashion as the first set of operating rooms in "Case abc". These remaining components represent the additional component since they are components that can be implemented into the system at a different time as a first set of components. In addition, the applicant argues that Rassman merely shows existing resources 123, 223, and 224 in a pictorial representation instead of teaching "modifying the pictorial representation of the existing system to show a pictorial representation of the second set of components being indicia coded in a manner unique with respect to the indicia coding of the first set of components to indicate that the second set of components is to be delivered in the second phase". However, Rassman discloses the display of resource utilization for the most recent data after data in resource database is updated in Col. 3, lines 10-11. Also, in Col. 6, lines 20-22, lines 27-36, Rassman shows secondary resources are displayed, and in Col. 14, lines 12-16 and Fig. 7, where the components [represented by resources] for the second phase are indicia coded by the vertical rectangles labeled "Y" Two for phase two. These secondary resources do not just represent existing resources, but do represent the second set of components since as disclosed in the preceding paragraph, Rassman does disclose "additional components" in Col. 7, lines 55-57. As per claims 7 and 13, these claims are rejected for similar reasons as discussed above in reference to claims 1, 4, 5, 6,7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17 and 18. As per claims 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18 and 19, these claims depend from claims 1, 7 and 13 and are still rejected for the same reasons as discussed with respect to claims 1, 7 and 13.. As per claims 3, 9 and 15, these claims depend from claims 1, 7 and 13 and are still rejected for the same reasons as discussed with respect to claims 1, 7 and 13...